

ON THE REPRESENTABILITY OF  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ 

ROY MIKAEL SKJELNES

Department of Mathematics, KTH

ABSTRACT. Let  $k[x]_{(x)}$  be the polynomial ring  $k[x]$  localized in the maximal ideal  $(x) \subset k[x]$ . We study the Hilbert functor parameterizing ideals of colength  $n$  in this ring *having support at the origin*. The main result of this article is that this functor is not representable. We also give a complete description of the functor as a limit of representable functors

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $R$  be a local noetherian  $k$ -algebra with maximal ideal  $P$ . The Hilbert functor of  $n$ -points on  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{H}ilb_R^n$ , is determined by sending a scheme  $T$  to the set

$$\mathcal{H}ilb_R^n(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Closed subschemes } Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R) \text{ such that} \\ \text{the projection } Z \rightarrow T \text{ is flat, and where the global} \\ \text{sections of the fiber } Z_y \text{ is of dimension } n \text{ as a} \\ \kappa(y)\text{-vector space for all points } y \in T. \end{array} \right\}.$$

We let  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(T) \subseteq \mathcal{H}ilb_R^n(T)$  be the set of  $T$ -valued points  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{H}ilb_R^n$  such that  $Z_{\text{red}} \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P)$ . Here  $Z_{\text{red}}$  is the reduced scheme associated to  $Z$ . The assignment sending a  $k$ -scheme  $T$  to the set  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(T)$  determines a contravariant functor from the category of noetherian  $k$ -schemes to sets. The functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  is *different* from the Hilbert functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb_R^n$ .

The functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  with  $R = \mathbf{C}\{x, y\}$ , the ring of convergent power series in two variables, was introduced by J. Briançon in [1], and its set of  $\mathbf{C}$ -rational points were described. The motivation behind the present paper was to understand the universal properties of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n \mathbf{C}\{x, y\}$ .

Instead of analytic spaces, as considered in [1], we work in the category of noetherian  $k$ -schemes. Primarily our interest were in the representability of the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[[x, y]]$ . However, we realized that the problems we faced were present for  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ , where  $k[x]_{(x)}$  is the local ring of the origin at the line. To illustrate the difficulties of the representability of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[[x, y]]$  we will in this paper focus on  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ , the functor parameterizing colength  $n$  ideals in  $k[x]_{(x)}$ , having support in  $(x)$ .

The scheme  $\text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^n))$  is the only closed subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(k[x]_{(x)})$  whose coordinate ring is of dimension  $n$  as a  $k$ -vector space. It follows that the functor

$\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  has only one  $k$ -valued point. Thus in a naive geometric sense the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is trivial. We shall see, however, that the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is not representable! In fact we show in Theorem (4.8) that  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  is not representable when  $R$  is the local ring of a regular point on a variety.

In addition to Theorem (4.8) which is our main result, we show in Theorem (5.5) that the non-representable functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is pro-represented by  $k[[s_1, \dots, s_n]]$ , the formal power series ring in  $n$ -variables. In Theorem (6.8) we show that there exist a natural filtration of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  by representable subfunctors  $\{\mathcal{H}^{n,m}\}_{m \geq 0}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  is a closed subfunctor of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m+1}$ .

The three theorems (4.8), (5.5) and (6.8) completely describe  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ . The three mentioned results are more or less explicit applications of Theorem (3.5), which describes the set of elements in  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}(\text{Spec}(A))$  for arbitrary  $k$ -algebras  $A$ .

The paper is organized as follows: In Section (2) we recall some results from [2]. In Section (3) we establish Theorem (3.5). The sections (4), (5) and (6) are applications of Theorem (3.5). In Section (4) we show that  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is not representable. We pro-represent  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  in Section (5). We give a filtration of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  by representable subfunctors in Section (6).

I want to thank to my thesis advisor Dan Laksov for his help and assistance during the preparation of the present paper. I thank Torsten Ekedahl, Trond Gustavsen, Yves Pitteloud and the referee for their comments and remarks.

## 2. Preliminaries.

**2.1. Notation.** Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $k[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in one variable over  $k$ . The polynomials  $f(x)$  in  $k[x]$  such that  $f(0) \neq 0$  form a multiplicatively closed subset  $S$  in  $k[x]$ . We write the fraction ring  $k[x]_S = k[x]_{(x)}$ . For every  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we write  $A \otimes_k k[x] = A[x]$ . The localization of the  $k[x]$ -algebra  $A[x]$  in the multiplicatively closed set  $S \subset k[x]$  is  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . If  $I$  is an ideal in a ring  $A$  we let  $\mathfrak{R}(I)$  denote its radical, and if  $P$  is a prime ideal we let  $\kappa(P) = A_P/PA_P$  be its residue field.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Let  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  be an ideal such that  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ . Then the following two assertions hold:*

- (1) *The classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  form an  $A$ -basis for  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$ .*
- (2) *The ideal  $I$  is generated by a unique  $F(x) = x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n u_n$  in  $A[x]$ .*

*Proof.* See [3], Lemma (3.2) for a proof of the first assertion. The second assertion follows from [3], Theorem (3.3).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Let  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  be an ideal with residue ring  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$ . Assume that*

- (1) *There is an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(I)$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ .*
- (2) *The  $A$ -module  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  is flat.*
- (3) *For every prime ideal  $P$  in  $A$  we have that  $M \otimes_A \kappa(P)$  is of dimension  $n$  as a  $\kappa(P)$ -vector space.*

*Then  $M$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $M \otimes_A A_P$  is free for every prime ideal  $P$  in  $A$ . Thus we assume that  $A$  is a local  $k$ -algebra. Assumption (1) is equivalent to the existence

of an integer  $N$  such that we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq I$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . Consequently we have a surjection

$$A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(x^N) \rightarrow M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I. \quad (2.3.1)$$

We have that  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(x^N) = A[x]/(x^N)$ . It follows from the surjection (2.3.1) that  $M$  is generated by the classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{N-1}$ . In particular  $M$  is finitely generated. A flat and finitely generated module over a local ring is free, see [4] Theorem (7.10). Hence by Assumption (2) we have that  $M$  is a free  $A$ -module. By Assumption (3) we have that the rank of  $M$  is  $n$ .

Thus we have proven that  $M \otimes_A A_P$  is free of rank  $n$  for every prime ideal  $P$  in  $A$ . It then follows by Assertion (1) of Lemma (2.2) that  $M \otimes_A A_P$  has a basis given by the classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$ . Since the classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  form a basis for  $M \otimes_A A_P$  for every prime ideal  $P$  of  $A$ , it follows that  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  form a basis for  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra and let  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$  be a polynomial where  $F(x) = x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n u_n$ . The following three assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *For all maximal ideals  $P$  of  $A$  with residue map  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A/P$ , the roots of  $F^\varphi(x) = x^n - \varphi(u_1)x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \varphi(u_n)$  in the algebraic closure of  $A/P$  are zero or transcendental over  $k$ .*
- (2) *The ring  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(F(x))$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A[x]/(F(x))$ .*
- (3) *The  $A$ -module  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(F(x))$  is free of rank  $n$  with a basis consisting of the classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$ .*

*Proof.* See [3], Assertions (1), (4) and (5) of Theorem (2.3).

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $F(x) = x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n u_n$  be an element of  $A[x]$ . Assume that the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are in the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Then we have that  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(F(x))$  is canonically isomorphic to  $A[x]/(F(x))$ . In particular we have a canonical isomorphism  $M = A[x]/(F(x))$  (17) when  $A$  is local and the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are in the maximal ideal of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal, and let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A/P$  be the residue map. We have that  $F^\varphi(x) = x^n$  since the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are in the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Consequently the roots of  $F^\varphi(x)$  are zero, and the Assertion (1) of the Theorem is satisfied.

**Corollary 2.6.** *Assume that  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$  is such that the assertions of the Theorem are satisfied. Then an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is equivalent to an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously an inclusion of ideals in  $A[x]$  extends to an inclusion of ideals in the fraction ring  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . Consequently it suffices to show that an inclusion  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  gives an inclusion  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ . Assume that we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ , or equivalently a surjection

$$A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(x^N) \rightarrow A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(F(x)). \quad (2.6.1)$$

We have that  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$  satisfies the conditions in the Theorem. Hence we have a canonical isomorphism  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(F(x)) = A[x]/(F(x))$ . Then the surjection (2.6.1) gives a surjection  $A[x]/(x^N) \rightarrow A[x]/(F(x))$  which is equivalent to an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ .

### 3. Polynomials with nilpotent coefficients.

The purpose of this section is to establish Theorem (3.5). Applications of Theorem (3.5) is given in Sections (4), (5) and (6).

**3.1. Set up and Notation.** We will study ideals generated by monic polynomials with nilpotent coefficients. For this purpose we introduce the following terminology; Let  $A$  be a commutative ring, and let  $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  be the polynomial ring over  $A$  in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . Let  $s_i(t) = s_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  be the  $i$ 'th elementary symmetric function in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . The elementary symmetric functions  $s_i(t)$  are homogeneous in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , having degree  $\deg(s_i(t)) = i$ . We let  $A_0 = A$  and consider the ring of symmetric functions  $A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)] = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$  as graded in  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . For every positive integer  $d$  we have the ideal  $\bigoplus_{i \geq d} A_i \subseteq A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)]$ . We denote the residue ring by

$$Q_d := A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)] / \bigoplus_{i \geq d} A_i. \quad (3.1.1)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be nilpotent elements in a ring  $A$ . Then the homomorphism  $u : A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)] \rightarrow A$ , determined by  $u(s_i) = u_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , factors through  $Q_d$  for some integer  $d$ .*

*Proof.* The coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nilpotent by assumption. Hence there exist integers  $n_i$  such that  $u_i^{n_i} = 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\tau = \max\{n_i\}$ , and let  $d = \tau + 2\tau + \dots + n\tau$ . We claim that  $u : A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)] \rightarrow A$  maps  $\bigoplus_{i \geq d} A_i$  to zero. It is enough to show that monomials  $m(s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t))$  of degree  $\geq d$  are mapped to zero. We have that  $m(s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)) = s_1(t)^{e_1} s_2(t)^{e_2} \dots s_n(t)^{e_n}$  where  $e_1 + 2e_2 + \dots + ne_n = \deg(m(s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)))$ . It follows that at least one  $e_j \geq \tau$ , and consequently  $u_j^{e_j} = 0$ . Thus we have that  $u(s_1(t)^{e_1} s_2(t)^{e_2} \dots s_n(t)^{e_n}) = u_1^{e_1} u_2^{e_2} \dots u_n^{e_n} = 0$ .

**3.3. Polynomials with nilpotent coefficients.** For every monic polynomial  $F(x) = x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n u_n$  in  $A[x]$  we let  $u_F : A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)] \rightarrow A$  be the  $A$ -algebra homomorphism determined by  $u_F(s_i(t)) = u_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let

$$\Delta(t, x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - t_i) = x^n - s_1(t)x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n s_n(t). \quad (3.3.1)$$

If  $D(t, x) = D(t_1, \dots, t_n, x)$  is symmetric in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , we let  $D^{u_F}(x)$  in  $A[x]$  be the image of  $D(t, x)$  by the map  $u_F \otimes 1 : A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)][x] \rightarrow A[x]$ . In particular we have that  $\Delta^{u_F}(x) = F(x)$ .

For every non-negative integer  $p$  we define  $d_p(t_i, x)$  in  $A[t_1, \dots, t_n, x]$  by

$$d_p(t_i, x) = (x + t_i)(x^2 + t_i^2) \dots (x^{2^p} + t_i^{2^p}). \quad (3.3.2)$$

It follows by induction on  $p$  that  $(x - t_i)d_p(t_i, x) = x^{2^{p+1}} - t_i^{2^{p+1}}$ . We let

$$D_p(t, x) = \prod_{i=1}^n d_p(t_i, x). \quad (3.3.3)$$

For every non-negative integer  $N$ , we let  $s_i(t^N) = s_i(t_1^N, \dots, t_n^N)$ , which is a homogeneous symmetric function in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . We have that the

degree of  $s_i(t^N)$  is  $\deg(s_i(t^N)) = iN$ . Both  $\Delta(t, x)$  and  $D_p(t, x)$  are symmetric in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . Their product is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(t, x)D_p(t, x) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (x^{2^{p+1}} - t_i^{2^{p+1}}) \\ &= x^{2^{p+1}n} - s_1(t^{2^{p+1}})x^{2^{p+1}(n-1)} + \dots + (-1)s_n(t^{2^{p+1}}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.3.4}$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $F(x) = x^n - u_1x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^nu_n$  be an element of  $A[x]$ . Then the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nilpotent if and only if we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are nilpotent. We must show that  $x \in \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$ , or equivalently that  $x^N \in (F(x))$  for some integer  $N$ . By Lemma (3.2) the map  $u_F : A[s_1(t), \dots, s_n(t)] \rightarrow A$  determined by  $u_F(s_i(t)) = u_i$ , factors through  $Q_d$  for some integer  $d$ . Let  $p$  be an integer such that  $2^{p+1} \geq d$ . The function  $D_p(t, x)$  (3.3.3) is symmetric in the variables  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ . We will show that  $D_p^{u_F}(x)$  in  $A[x]$  is such that  $F(x)D_p^{u_F}(x) = x^N$ . The product  $\Delta(t, x)D_p(t, x)$  is given in (3.3.4), and the degree of the symmetric functions  $s_i(t^{2^{p+1}}) = i2^{p+1} \geq d$ . Consequently the class of  $\Delta(t, x)D_p(t, x)$  in  $Q_d[x]$  equals  $x^{2^{p+1}n}$ . We obtain that

$$x^{2^{p+1}n} = \Delta^{u_F}(x)D_p^{u_F}(x) = F(x)D_p^{u_F}(x),\tag{3.4.1}$$

in  $A[x]$ . Hence we have that  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$ .

Conversely, assume that we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ . Then there exist a  $G(x)$  in  $A[x]$  such that  $x^N = F(x)G(x)$  for some integer  $N$ . Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $A$ , and let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \kappa(P) = K$  be the residue map. Let  $F^\varphi(x)$  and  $G^\varphi(x)$  be the classes of  $F(x)$  and  $G(x)$ , respectively, in  $K[x]$ . We have

$$x^N = F^\varphi(x)G^\varphi(x) = (x^n - \varphi(u_1)x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n\varphi(u_n))G^\varphi(x),\tag{3.4.2}$$

in  $K[x]$ . The ring  $K[x]$  is a unique factorization domain, hence  $\varphi(u_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore the classes of  $u_i$  are zero in  $A/P$  for all prime ideals  $P$  of  $A$ . We have shown that  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nilpotent.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra, and let  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  be an ideal. Write the residue ring as  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$ . The following two assertions are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$M$  is a flat  $A$ -module such that for every prime ideal  $P$  in  $A$  we have that  $M \otimes_A \kappa(P)$  is of dimension  $n$  as a  $\kappa(P)$ -vector space, and we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(I)$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ .*
- (2) *The ideal  $I$  is generated by an element  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$ , of the form  $F(x) = x^n - u_1x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^nu_n$ , where the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Assume that Assertion (1) holds. By Proposition (2.3) we have that  $M$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ . It follows from Lemma (2.2) that the ideal  $I$  is generated by a unique  $F(x) = x^n - u_1x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^nu_n$  in  $A[x]$ , and that the classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  form a basis for  $M$ . Consequently  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$  is such that the assertions of Theorem (2.4) hold. By assumption there is an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . Or equivalently that  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$

for some integer  $N$ . By Corollary (2.6) we get an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ . It follows from Proposition (3.4) that the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that Assertion (2) holds. Since the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are nilpotent, we get by Corollary (2.5) that  $F(x)$  is such that the assertions of Theorem (2.4) is satisfied. Thus  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(F(x))$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ . In particular we have that  $M$  is a flat  $A$ -module such that  $M \otimes_A \kappa(P)$  is of rank  $n$ , for every prime ideal  $P$  in  $A$ . What is left to prove is the inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . It follows from Proposition (3.4) that there is an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ . Consequently there exist an integer  $N$  such that we have an inclusion  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ . By Corollary (2.6) we get an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . We have proven the Theorem.

#### 4. The non-representability of $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ .

In this section we define for every local noetherian  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$ . We will show in Theorem (4.8) that the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  is not representable when  $R$  is the local ring of a regular point on a variety.

**4.1. Notation.** If  $Z$  is a scheme, we let  $Z_{red}$  be the associated reduced scheme. Given a morphism of schemes  $Z \rightarrow T$ . The fiber over a given point  $y \in T$  we write as  $Z_y = Z \times_T \text{Spec}(\kappa(y))$ . Here  $\kappa(y)$  is the residue field of the point  $y \in T$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be two ideals in a ring  $A$ . Assume that  $I$  is finitely generated. Then an inclusion  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(J)$  is equivalent to the existence of an integer  $N$  such that  $I^N \subseteq J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  be a set of generators for the ideal  $I$ . Assume that we have an inclusion of ideals  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(J)$ . It follows that there exist integers  $n_i$  such that  $x_i^{n_i} \in J$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Thus we have that  $I^N \subseteq J$ , when  $N \geq \sum_{i=1}^m (n_i - 1) + 1$ . The converse is immediate, and we have proven the Lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal in a noetherian  $k$ -algebra  $R$ . Let  $T$  be a noetherian  $k$ -scheme. Suppose that  $Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R)$  is a closed subscheme. Then  $Z_{red} \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/I)$  if and only if there exist an integer  $N = N(Z)$  such that  $Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/I^N)$ .*

*Proof.* The scheme  $T$  is noetherian and we can find a finite affine open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $T$ . Thus  $\{U_i \times_k \text{Spec}(R)\}$  is a finite affine open cover of  $T \times_k \text{Spec}(R)$ . It follows from the finite covering of  $T \times_k \text{Spec}(R)$  that it is enough to prove the statement for each  $U_i \times_k \text{Spec}(R)$ . Hence we may assume that  $T$  is affine.

Let  $T = \text{Spec}(A)$ , and let the closed subscheme  $Z$  be given by the ideal  $J \subseteq A \otimes_k R$ . The image of the natural map  $A \otimes_k I \rightarrow A \otimes_k R$ , we write as  $I_A$ . The ring  $R$  is noetherian, hence  $I \subseteq R$  is finitely generated. Consequently the ideal  $I_A \subseteq A \otimes_k R$  is finitely generated. It follows from Lemma (4.2) that  $I_A \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(J)$  if and only if  $I_A^N \subseteq J$  for some  $N$ . We have proven the Lemma.

**4.4. Definition.** Let  $R$  be a local noetherian  $k$ -algebra. Let  $P$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. We define for any  $k$ -scheme  $T$  the set

$$\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Closed subschemes } Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R), \text{ where the} \\ \text{projection } Z \rightarrow T \text{ is flat, such that the global sections} \\ \text{of the fiber } Z_y \text{ is of dimension } n \text{ as a } \kappa(y)\text{-vector space} \\ \text{for all points } y \in T \text{ and such that } Z_{red} \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P). \end{array} \right\}.$$

**4.5. Lemma.** *The assignment sending a  $k$ -scheme  $T$  to the set  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(T)$ , determines a contravariant functor from the category of noetherian  $k$ -schemes to sets.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \rightarrow T$  be a morphism of noetherian  $k$ -schemes. If  $Z$  is a  $T$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  we must show that  $Z_U = U \times_T Z$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(U)$ . The only non-trivial part of the claim is to show that  $Z_U$  is supported at  $U \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P)$ .

Since  $Z$  is supported at  $T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P)$  there exist by Lemma (4.3) an integer  $N$  such that  $Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P^N)$ . It follows that  $Z_U \subseteq U \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P^N)$ . Hence by Lemma (4.3) we have that  $Z_U$  is supported at  $U \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P)$ . We have proven the claim.

*Remark.* Note that we restrict ourselves to noetherian  $k$ -schemes. It is not clear whether  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  is a presheaf of sets on the category of  $k$ -schemes.

*Remark.* When  $R = \mathbf{C}\{x, y\}$ , the ring of convergent power series in two variables, the Definition (4.4) gives the functor of J. Briançon [1].

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $R$  be a local noetherian  $k$ -algebra. Let  $P$  be the maximal ideal of  $R$ , and let  $\hat{R}$  be the  $P$ -adic completion of  $R$ . We have that  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n \hat{R}$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $\hat{R}$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $\hat{P} = P \otimes_R \hat{R}$ . Furthermore we have for any positive integer  $N$  that  $R/P^N = \hat{R}/\hat{P}^N$ . It follows that for any  $k$ -scheme  $T$  we have that

$$T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P^N) = T \times_k \text{Spec}(\hat{R}/\hat{P}^N). \quad (4.6.1)$$

Thus if  $Z$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(T)$  there is by Lemma (4.3) an integer  $N$  such that  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $T \times_k \text{Spec}(R/P^N)$ . By (4.6.1) it follows that  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $T \times_k \text{Spec}(\hat{R})$  having support in  $T \times_k \text{Spec}(\hat{R}/\hat{P})$ . We get that  $Z$  is an element of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n \hat{R}(T)$ . It is clear that a similar argument shows that the converse also holds; any element  $Z \in \mathcal{H}ilb^n \hat{R}(T)$  is naturally identified as an element of  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R(T)$ . We have proven the Lemma.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Given a nilpotent element  $\epsilon$  in  $A$ , such that the smallest integer  $j$  where  $\epsilon^j = 0$  is  $j = 2^{m+1}$ . Then the smallest integer  $N$  such that we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is  $N = 2^{(m+1)} + n - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that we have an inclusion  $(x^N) \subseteq (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ , with  $N = 2^{m+1} + n - 1$ . For every non-negative integer  $p$  we let

$$d_p(\epsilon, x) = (x + \epsilon)(x^2 + \epsilon^2) \dots (x^{2^p} + \epsilon^{2^p}) \quad \text{in } A[x]. \quad (4.7.1)$$

We have that  $(x - \epsilon)d_p(\epsilon, x) = x^{2^{p+1}} - \epsilon^{2^{p+1}}$  in  $A[x]$ . Thus when  $p \geq m$ , we have that  $(x - \epsilon)d_p(\epsilon, x) = x^{2^{p+1}}$  in  $A[x]$ . It follows that there is an inclusion of ideals

$$(x^{2^{m+1}+n-1}) \subseteq (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1}) \quad \text{in } A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}. \quad (4.7.2)$$

We need to show that  $2^{m+1} + n - 1$  is the smallest integer such that the inclusion (4.7.2) in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  holds.

Let  $N + r = 2^{m+1} + n - 1$ , where  $r$  is a non-negative integer. Assume that we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . The element  $\epsilon \in A$  is nilpotent, hence by Corollary (2.5) we have that  $F(x) = x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1}$  is such that the assertions of Theorem (2.4) are satisfied. It follows by Corollary (2.6) that an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is equivalent with an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A[x]$ . Consequently there exist a  $G(x)$  in  $A[x]$  such that  $x^N = (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})G(x)$ . Let  $d_m(\epsilon, x)$  in  $A[x]$  be the polynomial as defined in (4.7.1). We have that  $(x - \epsilon)d_m(\epsilon, x) = x^{2^{m+1}}$ . Hence we get the following identity in  $A[x]$ ;

$$(x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})d_m(\epsilon, x) = x^{2^{m+1}+n-1} = x^N x^r = (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})G(x)x^r. \quad (4.7.3)$$

The element  $x^n$  is not a zero divisor in the ring  $A[x]$ . It follows that the element  $(x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})$  is not a zero divisor in  $A[x]$ . From the identity in (4.7.3) we obtain the identity  $(x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})(d_m(\epsilon, x) - G(x)x^r) = 0$  in  $A[x]$ , which implies that  $d_m(\epsilon, x) = G(x)x^r$  in  $A[x]$ . The polynomial  $d_m(\epsilon, x)$  (4.7.1) has a constant term  $\epsilon^{2^{(m+1)}-1} \neq 0$ . Consequently  $x$  does not divide  $d_m(\epsilon, x)$ . Therefore  $r = 0$ , and  $N = 2^{m+1} + n - 1$  is the smallest integer such that we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x^N) \subseteq (x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ .

*Remark.* When  $\epsilon(m) = \epsilon$  is as in Lemma (4.7), we have that the closed subscheme  $Z_m = \text{Spec}(A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(x^n - \epsilon x^{n-1})) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)})$  is a subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(A) \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^N))$  if and only if  $N \geq 2^{m+1} + n - 1$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $R$  be a local noetherian  $k$ -algebra with maximal ideal  $P$ . Assume that the  $P$ -adic completion of  $R$  is  $\hat{R} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ , the formal power series ring in  $r > 0$  variables. Then we have that the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n R$  is not representable in the category of noetherian  $k$ -schemes.*

*Proof.* Write  $x = x_1, \dots, x_r$ , and set  $k[x]_{(x)} = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]_{(x_1, \dots, x_r)}$  the localization of the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  in the maximal ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . By Lemma (4.6) it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is not representable.

Assume that  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is representable. Let  $H$  be the noetherian  $k$ -scheme representing the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}(H)$  be the universal family. Then in particular we have that  $U_{\text{red}} \subseteq H \times_k \text{Spec}(k)$ . Hence, by Lemma (4.3) there exist an integer  $N$  such that we have an closed immersion

$$U \subseteq H \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/(x_1, \dots, x_r)^N). \quad (4.8.1)$$

We let  $m$  be an integer such that  $2^{m+1} + n - 1 > N$ . Write  $A_m = k[u]/(u^{2^{(m+1)}})$ . Let  $Z_m = \text{Spec}(A_m \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/(x_1^n - \epsilon x_1^{n-1}, x_2, \dots, x_r)) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_m \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)})$ , where  $\epsilon \in A_m$  is the class of  $u$  in  $A_m$ . We have that  $Z_m = \text{Spec}(A_m \otimes_k k[x_1]_{(x_1)}/(x_1^n - \epsilon x_1^{n-1}))$ . It follows from Theorem (3.5) that  $Z_m$  is an  $A_m$ -valued point of the functor  $\mathcal{H}ilb^n k[x]_{(x)}$ .

By the universality of the pair  $(H, U)$  there exist a morphism  $\text{Spec}(A_m) \rightarrow H$  such that  $Z_m = \text{Spec}(A_m) \times_H U$ . It then follows from the closed immersion in (4.8.1) that  $Z_m \subseteq \text{Spec}(A_m) \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x_1, \dots, x_r)^N)$ . However, since  $2^{m+1} + n - 1 > N$  we have by the remark following Lemma (4.7), that  $\text{Spec}(A_m \otimes_k k[x_1]_{(x_1)}/(x_1^n - \epsilon x_1^{n-1}))$  is not a subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(A_m) \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x_1]/(x_1^N))$ . Hence we get that  $Z_m$  can not be a closed subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(A_m) \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x_1]/(x_1, \dots, x_r)^N)$ . We have thus reached a contradiction and proven the Theorem.

## 5. Pro-representing $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$ .

**5.1. Set up.** Let  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  be independent variables over the field  $k$ . The completion of the polynomial ring  $k[s_1, \dots, s_n]$  in the maximal ideal  $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  we write as  $R_n = k[[s_1, \dots, s_n]]$ . We will show that  $R_n$  pro-represents the functor  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$ . We recall the basic notions from [5].

**5.2. Notation.** Let  $\mathbf{C}_k$  be the category where the objects are local artinian  $k$ -algebras with residue field  $k$ , and where the morphisms are (local)  $k$ -algebra homomorphism. If  $A$  is an object of  $\mathbf{C}_k$  we say that  $A$  is an *artin* ring.

We write  $H_n$  for the restriction of the functor  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$  to the category  $\mathbf{C}_k$ . Notice that an artin ring  $A$ , that is an element of the category  $\mathbf{C}_k$  has only one prime ideal. The residue field of the only prime ideal of  $A$  is  $k$ . The ideal  $(x^n)$  is the only ideal  $I$  of  $k[x]_{(x)}$  such that the residue ring  $k[x]_{(x)}/I$  has dimension  $n$  as a  $k$ -vector space. It follows that the covariant functor  $H_n$  from the category  $\mathbf{C}_k$  to sets, maps an artin ring  $A$  to the set

$$H_n(A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ideals } I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)} \text{ such that the residue ring} \\ M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I \text{ is a flat } A\text{-module, where} \\ M \otimes_A k = k[x]/(x^n), \text{ and such that there is an} \\ \text{inclusion of ideals } (x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(I) \text{ in } A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}. \end{array} \right\}. \quad (5.2.1)$$

*Remark.* Let  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{k[x]_{(x)}}^n$  denote the usual Hilbert functor and consider its restriction to the category  $\mathbf{C}_k$ . Thus an  $A$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{k[x]_{(x)}}^n$  is an ideal  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  such that the residue ring  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  is flat over  $A$ , and such that  $M \otimes_A k = k[x]/(x^n)$ . We shall show that the restriction of the Hilbert functor  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{k[x]_{(x)}}^n$  to the category  $\mathbf{C}_k$  coincides with the functor  $H_n$ .

Note that an  $A$ -valued point  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  of  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{k[x]_{(x)}}^n$  is not *a priori* finitely generated as an module over  $A$ . However we have the following general result ([2], Theorem (2.4)).

Let  $A$  be a local ring with nilpotent radical. Let  $M$  be a flat  $A$ -module, and denote the maximal ideal of  $A$  with  $P$ . If  $\dim_{\kappa(P)}(M \otimes_A \kappa(P)) = \dim_{\kappa(Q)}(M \otimes_A \kappa(Q)) = n$ , for all minimal prime ideals  $Q$  in  $A$ . Then  $M$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ .

It follows that when  $A$  is an artin ring, and  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  is an  $A$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{k[x]_{(x)}}^n$ , then  $M$  is free and of rank  $n$  as an  $A$ -module. It then follows by Lemma (2.2) that the ideal  $I$  is generated by a monic polynomial  $F(x) = x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n u_n$  in  $A[x]$ . Since we have that  $M \otimes_A k = k[x]/(x^n)$  we get that the coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are nilpotent. Hence by Theorem (3.5) we have that  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(I)$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . We have shown that the two functors  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$  and  $\mathcal{Hilb}_{k[x]_{(x)}}^n$  coincide when restricted to the category  $\mathbf{C}_k$  of artin rings.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be an artin ring. Let  $\psi : R_n = k[[s_1, \dots, s_n]] \rightarrow A$  be a local  $k$ -algebra homomorphism. Let  $F_n^\psi(x) = x^n - \psi(s_n)x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)\psi(s_n)$ . Then we have that  $(F_n^\psi(x)) \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is an  $A$ -valued point of  $H_n$ .*

*Proof.* Since the map  $\psi$  is local we have that  $\psi(s_i)$  is in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  of  $A$ , for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The ring  $A$  is artin. Consequently  $\mathfrak{m}_A^q = 0$  for some integer  $q$ .

It follows that the coefficients  $\psi(s_1), \dots, \psi(s_n)$  of  $F_n^\psi(x)$  are nilpotent. By Theorem (3.5) we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(F_n^\psi(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  and the residue ring  $M = A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)} / (F_n^\psi(x))$  is a flat  $A$ -module such that  $M \otimes_A k$  is of dimension  $n$  as a  $k$ -vector space. Thus we have proven that the ideal  $(F_n^\psi(x)) \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is an element of  $H_n(A)$ .

**5.4. The pro-couple  $(R_n, \xi)$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $R_n = k[[s_1, \dots, s_n]]$ . For every positive integer  $q$  we let  $s_{q,1}, \dots, s_{q,n}$  be the classes of  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  in  $R_n/\mathfrak{m}^q$ . It follows from Lemma (5.3) that the ideal generated by  $F_n^q(x) = x^n - s_{q,1}x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n s_{q,n}$  in  $R/\mathfrak{m}^q[x]$  generates an  $R_n/\mathfrak{m}^q$ -point of  $H_n$ . We get a sequence

$$\xi = \{(F_n^q(x))\}_{q \geq 0}, \quad (5.4.1)$$

where  $(F_n^q(x))$  is an  $R_n/\mathfrak{m}^q$ -point for every non-negative integer  $q$ . Clearly  $\xi$  defines a point in the projective limit  $\varprojlim_q \{H^n(R_n/\mathfrak{m}^q)\}$ . Thus we have that  $(R_n, \xi)$  is a *pro-couple* of  $H_n$ .

We let  $h_R$  be the covariant functor from  $\mathbf{C}_k$  to sets, which sends an artin ring  $A$  to the set of local  $k$ -algebra homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-loc}}(R_n, A)$ . We note that a local  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi : R_n \rightarrow A$  factors through  $R_n/\mathfrak{m}^q$  for high enough  $q$ . We get that the pro-couple  $(R_n, \xi)$  induces a morphism of functors  $F_\xi : h_R \rightarrow H_n$  which for any artin ring  $A$ , maps an element  $\psi \in h_R(A)$  to the element  $(F_n^\psi(x))$  in  $H_n(A)$ . Here  $F_n^\psi(x)$  is as in Lemma (5.3).

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $R_n = k[[s_1, \dots, s_n]]$ , and let  $\xi$  be as in (5.4.1). The morphism of functors  $F_\xi : h_R \rightarrow H_n$  induced by the pro-couple  $(R_n, \xi)$ , is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We must construct an inverse to the morphism  $F_\xi : h_R \rightarrow H_n$ . Let  $A$  be an artin ring, and let  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  be an ideal satisfying the properties of (5.2.1). We have that Assertion (1) of Theorem (3.5) holds. Consequently the ideal  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is generated by a unique  $F(x) = x^n - u_1x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)u_n$  in  $A[x]$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are nilpotent. The coefficients  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  of  $F(x)$  are in the maximal ideal of  $A$ , hence the map  $\psi : k[[s_1, \dots, s_n]] \rightarrow A$  sending  $s_i$  to  $u_i$ , determines a local  $k$ -algebra homomorphism. We have thus constructed a morphism of functors  $G : H_n \rightarrow h_R$ . It is clear that  $G$  is the inverse of  $F_\xi$ .

## 6. A filtration of $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$ by schemes.

We will in Section (6) show that there is a natural filtration of  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$  by representable functors  $\{\mathcal{H}^{n,m}\}_{m \geq 0}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  is a closed subfunctor of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m+1}$  for all  $m$ . The functors  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  are the Hilbert functors parameterizing closed subschemes of length  $n$  of  $\text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^{n+m}))$ .

An outline of Section (6) is as follows. We will define the functors  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  from the category of  $k$ -schemes, not necessarily noetherian schemes, to sets. We then construct schemes  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  which we show represent  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$ . Thereafter we restrict  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  to the category on noetherian  $k$ -schemes, and show that we get an filtration of  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$ .

**6.1. Definition.** Let  $n > 0, m \geq 0$  be integers. In the polynomial ring  $k[x]$  we have the ideal  $(x^{n+m})$  and we denote the residue ring as  $R = k[x]/(x^{n+m}) = k[x]_{(x)}/(x^{n+m})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m} = \mathcal{Hilb}_R^n$  the local Hilbert functor of  $n$ -points on  $\text{Spec } R$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  is the contravariant functor from the category of  $k$ -schemes

to sets, determined by sending a  $k$ -scheme  $T$  to the set

$$\mathcal{H}^{n,m}(T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Closed subschemes } Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^{n+m})), \\ \text{such that the projection } Z \rightarrow T \text{ is flat, and where} \\ \text{the global sections of the fiber } Z_y \text{ is of dimension } n \\ \text{as a } \kappa(y)\text{-vector space, for all points } y \in T. \end{array} \right\}.$$

**6.2. Construction of the rings  $H_{n,m}$ .** Let  $P_n = k[s_1, \dots, s_n]$  be the polynomial ring in the variables  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  over  $k$ . Let  $m$  be a fixed non-negative integer, and let  $y_1, \dots, y_m, x$  be algebraic independent variables over  $P_n$ . We define  $F_n(x) = x^n - s_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n s_n$  in  $P_n[x]$ , and we let  $Y_m(x) = x^m + y_1 x^{m-1} + \dots + y_m$ . The product  $F_n(x)Y_m(x)$  is

$$F_n(x)Y_m(x) = x^{n+m} + C_{m,1}(y)x^{n+m-1} + \dots + C_{m,n+m}(y). \quad (6.2.1)$$

As a convention we let  $s_0 = y_0 = 1$ , and  $y_j = 0$  for negative values of  $j$ . The coefficient  $C_{m,i}(y)$  is the sum of products  $(-1)^j s_j y_{i-j}$ , where  $j = 0, \dots, n$ , and  $i-j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,i}(y) &= y_i - s_1 y_{i-1} + \dots + (-1)^n s_n y_{i-n} && \text{when } i = 1, \dots, m. \\ C_{m,m+j}(y) &= (-1)^j y_m s_j + \dots + (-1)^n y_{m+j-n} s_n && \text{when } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2.2)$$

For every non-negative integer  $m$  we let  $I_m \subseteq P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  be the ideal generated by the coefficients  $C_{m,1}(y), \dots, C_{m,m+n}(y)$ . We write

$$H_{n,m} = P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]/I_m = P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]/(C_{m,1}(y), \dots, C_{m,m+n}). \quad (6.2.3)$$

Using (6.2.2) we note that  $C_{m,m}(y) = y_m + C_{m-1,m}(y)$ . For every positive integer  $m$  we define the  $P_n$ -algebra homomorphism

$$c_m : P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow P_n[y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}] \quad (6.2.4)$$

by sending  $y_i$  to  $y_i$  when  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , and  $y_m$  to  $-C_{m-1,m}(y)$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *For every non-negative integer  $m$  we have that the natural map  $P_n \rightarrow P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]/(C_{m,1}(y), \dots, C_{m,m}(y))$  is an isomorphism. In particular we get that the map  $P_n \rightarrow H_{n,m}$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Consider the homomorphism  $c_m$  as defined in (6.2.4). It is clear that  $c_m$  is surjective and that we get an induced isomorphism

$$P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]/(C_{m,m}(y)) \simeq P_n[y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}]. \quad (6.3.1)$$

When  $i \leq m$  we have that  $C_{m,i}(y)$  is a function in the variables  $y_1, \dots, y_i$ . Hence when  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$  the elements  $C_{m,i}(y)$  are invariant under the action of  $c_m$ . From (6.2.2) we get that  $C_{m,i}(y) = C_{m-1,i}(y)$  when  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ . It follows by successive use of (6.3.1) that we get an induced isomorphism

$$P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]/(C_{m,1}(y), \dots, C_{m,m}(y)) \simeq P_n. \quad (6.3.2)$$

It is easy to see that the map (6.3.2) composed with the natural map induced by  $P_n \rightarrow P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ , is the identity map on  $P_n$ . We have proven the Lemma.

**Lemma 6.4.** *For every positive integer  $m$ , the  $P_n$ -algebra homomorphism  $c_m$  (6.2.4) induces a surjective map  $H_{n,m} \rightarrow H_{n,m-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{c}_m$  be the composite of the residue map  $P_n[y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}] \rightarrow H_{n,m-1}$  and  $c_m$ . We first show that we get an induced map  $H_{n,m} \rightarrow H_{n,m-1}$ . That is, we show that the ideal  $I_m \subseteq P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  defining  $H_{n,m}$ , is in the kernel of  $\hat{c}_m$ .

The ideal  $I_m$  is generated by  $C_{m,1}(y), \dots, C_{m,m+n}(y)$ . As noted in the proof of Lemma (6.3) the elements  $C_{m,i}(y)$  are mapped to  $C_{m-1,i}(y)$  when  $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ , whereas  $C_{m,m}(y)$  is in the kernel of  $c_m$ . Consequently we need to show that the elements  $C_{m,m+j}(y)$  are mapped to zero by  $\hat{c}_m$ . Using (6.2.2) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{m,m+j}(y) &= (-1)^j y_m s_j + (-1)^{j+1} y_{m-1} s_{j+1} \cdots + (-1)^n y_{m+j-n} s_n \\ &= (-1)^n y_m s_j + C_{m-1,m+j}(y) \quad \text{when } j \leq n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4.1)$$

It follows that  $C_{m,m+j}(y)$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$  are mapped to zero by  $\hat{c}_m$ . The last generator of  $I_m$  is  $C_{m,m+n}(y) = (-1)^n y_m s_n$ , clearly in the kernel of  $\hat{c}_m$ . Thus we have proven that the ideal  $I_m$  is in the kernel of  $\hat{c}_m : P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow H_{n,m-1}$ .

We need to show that the induced map  $H_{n,m} \rightarrow H_{n,m-1}$  is surjective. From Lemma (6.3) we have that the natural map  $P_n \rightarrow H_{n,m}$  is surjective for all  $m$ . Since the map  $c_m$  is  $P_n$ -linear, it follows that the induced map  $H_{n,m} \rightarrow H_{n,m-1}$  is  $P_n$ -linear and the result follows.

**6.5. Definition.** The natural map  $P_n = k[s_1, \dots, s_n] \rightarrow H_{n,m}$  is surjective by Lemma (6.3), for all  $m$ . We let  $s_{m,i}$  be the class of  $s_i$  in  $H_{n,m}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Define

$$F_{n,m}(x) = x^n - s_{m,1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n s_{m,n} \quad \text{in } H_{n,m}[x]. \quad (6.5.1)$$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra. Given an ideal  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  such that the residue ring  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ , and such that there is an inclusion of ideals  $(x^{n+m}) \subseteq I$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . Then there is a unique  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi : H_{n,m} \rightarrow A$  such that*

$$F_{n,m}^\psi(x) = x^n - \psi(s_{m,1})x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \psi(s_{m,n})$$

in  $A[x]$  generates  $I$ .

*Proof.* It follows by Assertion (2) of Lemma (2.2) that  $I$  is generated by a unique  $F(x) = x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n u_n$  in  $A[x]$ . By Assertion (1) of Lemma (2.2) the classes of  $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$  form a basis for  $M$ . Consequently  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$  satisfies the assertions of Theorem (2.4). By Corollary (2.6) the inclusion of ideals  $(x^{n+m}) \subseteq (F(x))$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is equivalent with the existence of  $G(x)$  in  $A[x]$  such that  $x^{n+m} = F(x)G(x)$ . Let  $G(x) = x^m + g_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + g_m$  in  $A[x]$ . The coefficients  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  are uniquely determined by  $G(x)$ , hence uniquely determined by the ideal  $I$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  be independent variables over  $k$ . We get a well-defined  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\theta : k[s_1, \dots, s_n, y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow A$  determined by  $\theta(s_i) = u_i$  where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\theta(y_j) = g_j$  where  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . We have thus constructed a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\theta : P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow A$ . We will next show that the map  $\theta$  factors through  $H_{n,m}$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n+m} &= F(x)G(x) \\ &= (x^n - u_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n u_n)(x^m + g_1 x^{m-1} + \cdots + g_m) \\ &= x^{n+m} + c_1 x^{n+m-1} + \cdots + c_{n+m} \end{aligned} \quad (6.6.1)$$

in  $A[x]$ . It follows that the coefficients  $c_j$  where  $j = 1, \dots, m+n$  are zero in  $A$ . The homomorphism  $\theta$  induces a map  $P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m][x] \rightarrow A[x]$  which sends  $F_{n,m}(x)$  to  $F(x)$  and  $Y_m(x) = x^m + y_1x^{m-1} + \dots + y_m$  to  $G(x)$ . It follows that the coefficient equations  $C_{m,j}(y)$  (6.2.1) where  $j = 1, \dots, m+n$ , are mapped to  $c_j = 0$ . Hence the homomorphism  $\theta : P_n[y_1, \dots, y_m] \rightarrow A$  factors through  $H_{n,m}$ . Let  $\psi : H_{n,m} \rightarrow A$  be the induced map. We have for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  that  $\psi(s_{m,i}) = \theta(s_i) = u_i$ . Consequently we get that  $F_{n,m}^\psi(x) = F(x)$ . We have thus proven the existence of a map  $\psi : H_{n,m} \rightarrow A$  such that  $F_{n,m}^\psi(x)$  generates the ideal  $I$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ .

We need to show that the map  $\psi$  is the only map with the property that  $(F_{n,m}^\psi(x)) = I$ . Let  $\psi' : H_{n,m} \rightarrow A$  be a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $F_{n,m}^{\psi'}(x)$  generates the ideal  $I$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . By Assertion (2) of Lemma (2.2) the ideal  $I \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$  is generated by a unique monic polynomial  $F(x)$  in  $A[x]$ . It follows that we must have  $F_{n,m}^{\psi'}(x) = F(x)$ . Thus if  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are the coefficients of  $F(x)$ , we get that  $\psi'(s_{m,i}) = u_i$ . A  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $H_{n,m} \rightarrow A$  is determined by its action on  $s_{m,1}, \dots, s_{m,n}$ . Hence  $\psi = \psi'$ . We have proven the Lemma.

**Proposition 6.7.** *The functor  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  is represented by  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  (6.2.3). The universal family is given by  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)))$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)))$  is an  $H_{n,m}$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$ . We have that  $F_{n,m}(x) = x^n - s_{m,1}x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n s_{m,n}$  in  $H_{n,m}[x]$ . Since  $F_{n,m}(x)$  is of degree  $n$  and has leading coefficient 1, we have that  $H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x))$  is a free  $H_{n,m}$ -module of rank  $n$ . By the identity in (6.2.1) and the construction of  $H_{n,m}$  we have an inclusion of ideals  $(x^{n+m}) \subseteq (F_{n,m}(x))$  in  $H_{n,m}[x]$ . Thus we have that  $H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)) = H_{n,m} \otimes_k R/(F_{n,m}(x))$ , where  $R = k[x]/(x^{n+m})$ , and consequently  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)))$  is an  $H_{n,m}$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$ .

We then have a morphism of functors  $F : \text{Hom}(-, \text{Spec}(H_{n,m})) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n,m}$ , which we claim is an isomorphism.

Let  $T$  be a  $k$ -scheme and let  $Z$  be an  $T$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$ . Let  $p : T \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^{n+m})) \rightarrow T$  be the projection on the first factor. Let  $\text{Spec}(A) = U \subseteq T$  be an open affine subscheme and let the closed subscheme  $Z \cap p^{-1}(U) \subseteq U \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^{n+m}))$  be given by the ideal  $J \subseteq A \otimes_k k[x]/(x^{n+m})$ . Let  $I$  be the inverse image of  $J$  under the residue map  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)} \rightarrow A \otimes_k k[x]/(x^{n+m})$ .

It follows from the definition of the functor  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  that the ideal  $I$  satisfies the conditions of Proposition (2.3). Hence  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}/I$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n$ . We have by definition an inclusion of ideals  $(x^{n+m}) \subseteq I$  in  $A \otimes_k k[x]_{(x)}$ . Consequently we get by Lemma (6.6) a unique map  $f_U : U \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  such that  $Z \cap p^{-1}(U) = U \times_{H_{n,m}} \text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)))$ .

Thus, if  $\{U_i\}$  is an open affine covering of  $T$ , we get maps  $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  with the property that

$$Z \cap p^{-1}(U_i) = U_i \times_{H_{n,m}} \text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x))). \quad (6.7.1)$$

The maps  $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  are unique with respect to the property (6.7.1). Hence the maps  $f_i$  glues together to a unique map  $f_Z : T \rightarrow \text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  such that  $Z = T \times_{H_{n,m}} \text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)))$ . It follows from the uniqueness of the map  $f_Z$  that the assignment sending a  $T$ -valued point  $Z$  to the morphism  $f_Z$  puts up an bijection between the set  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}(T)$  and the set  $\text{Hom}(T, \text{Spec}(H_{n,m}))$ . We have proven the Proposition.

**Theorem 6.8.** *Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. There is a filtration of the functor  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$  by an ascending chain of representable functors*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n,0} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{n,1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{n,2} \subseteq \dots,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  is a closed subfunctor of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m+1}$ , for every  $m$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition (6.7) the functors  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  are represented by  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  where the universal family is given by  $U_{n,m} = \text{Spec}(H_{n,m}[x]/(F_{n,m}(x)))$ . Let  $c_{m+1} : H_{n,m+1} \rightarrow H_{n,m}$  be the surjective map of Lemma (6.3). It follows from the  $P_n$ -linearity of  $c_{m+1}$  that the induced map  $H_{n,m+1}[x] \rightarrow H_{n,m}[x]$  maps  $F_{n,m+1}(x)$  to  $F_{n,m}(x)$ . Consequently we have that  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$  is a closed subscheme of  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m+1})$  such that  $U_{n,m+1} \times_{H_{n,m+1}} \text{Spec}(H_{n,m}) = U_{n,m}$ . Hence we have that  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  is a closed subfunctor of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m+1}$ .

From the constructions (6.2.3) of the rings  $H_{n,m}$  it is evident that they are noetherian. It follows that the restriction of the functor  $\mathcal{H}^{n,m}$  to the category of noetherian  $k$ -schemes, is represented by  $\text{Spec}(H_{n,m})$ .

That the functors  $\{\mathcal{H}^{n,m}\}_{m \geq 0}$  give a filtration of the functor  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$ , follows from Lemma (4.3). Indeed, given an noetherian  $k$ -scheme  $T$  and let  $Z$  be a  $T$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$ . Then there exist an integer  $N = N(Z)$  such that  $Z \subseteq T \times_k \text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^N))$ . Consequently the  $T$ -valued point  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{Hilb}^n k[x]_{(x)}$  is a  $T$ -valued point of  $\mathcal{H}^{n,N-n}$ . We have proven the Theorem.

**6.9 Examples of  $H_{n,m}$ .** The rings  $H_{n,m}$  are all of the form  $k[s_1, \dots, s_n]/J_m$ , where  $J_m$  is generated by  $n$  elements. With  $n = 1$  it is not difficult to solve the equations (6.2.2). We get that  $H_{1,m} = k[u]/(u^{m+1})$ . Thus we have that the scheme  $\text{Spec } k[x]/(x^{m+1})$  itself represents the Hilbert functor  $\mathcal{H}^{1,m}$  of 1-point on  $\text{Spec}(k[x]/(x^{m+1}))$ , for all non-negative integers  $m$ .

In general, with  $n > 1$  a description of the generators of the ideal  $J_m$  is not known, even though they can be recursively solved. For instance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2,1} &= k[x, y]/(x^2, xy) \\ H_{2,2} &= k[x, y]/(x^3 - 2xy, x^2y - y^2) \\ H_{2,3} &= k[x, y]/(x^4 - 3x^2y + y^2, x^3y - 2xy^2). \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Briançon, *Description de  $\text{Hilb}^n C\{x, y\}$* , Invent. Math. **41** (1977), no. 1.
- [2] D. Laksov, Y. Pitteloud and R.M. Skjelnes, *Notes on flatness and the Quot functor of rings*, To appear (1999).
- [3] D. Laksov and R.M. Skjelnes, *The Hilbert scheme parameterizing finite length subschemes of the line with support at the origin*, To appear (1999).
- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [5] M. Schlessinger, *Functors of Artin rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968).